Investigating resilience: insights from the Ebola epidemic and beyond

Dina Balabanova, Gillian McKay, Susannah Mayhew, Joshua Robinson, Melissa Parker, Emily Turner and Johanna Hanefeld

Fourth global symposium on health systems research, Vancouver, 14–18 November 2016



Building resilient health systems: lessons from international, national and local emergency responses to the Ebola epidemic in Sierra Leone [HSRI funded project, 2016-2019]

Questions:

- 1) How has the international Ebola response, interacting with national and local responses, affected Sierra Leone's health system and its ability to respond to future shocks?
- 2) How can international, national and local emergency response mechanisms be utilised to build resilient health systems in Sierra Leone, and what are the lessons for other settings?

Knowledge synthesis:

- 1) 3 distinct but interrelated reviews addressing different aspects of resilience in relation to Ebola and more broadly.
- 2) Focus of this presentation: concepts of systems resilience.

Defining Resilience

Building on social ecology/environmental science and psychology resilience can be described as:

The capacity of individuals, families, communities, systems and institutions to anticipate, withstand and/or judiciously engage with catastrophic events to maintain basic systems functions and/or meet basic needs.



Resilience ≠ systems strengthening

Resilience ≠ Sustainability

Aspects of Resilience

Resilience of SES is a function of vulnerability, adaptability, transformability.

- Vulnerability: how easy a system is forced in a different state;
- Adaptive capacity: the degree to which they are able to cope with that change (within predicted thresholds)
 - adaptability to predictable shocks;
 - capacity to adjust responses to changing external drivers and internal processes) and
- Transformability: a system designed to cope with uncertainty
 - and reach a new configuration

All act at multiple levels of decision making and action

Health Systems Operationalisation

- 'All-hazards' approach: incorporating common disaster management practices and policies within the health systems.
 - prevention and anticipation/ each component of a health system needs resilience to threats built in to its structure









Resilience: scoping the literature

Attributes of resilience to be studied:

- Relational (relationships between actors)
- A process over time and space
- A state of dynamic change between equilibriumcrisis/adjustment-new equilibrium

Search Results:

- 237 non-duplicates
- ○ → 65 after title/abstract review
- → 20 for full data abstraction

3 aspects of resilience:

- Vulnerability
- Adaptability
- Transformability

Vulnerability



- Vulnerable communities have vulnerable health systems.
- Formal political authority may or may not represent vulnerable populations, thus can be wrong target for intervention
- Where the health system is vulnerable, decision making power can be taken over by non-health actors
- Power dynamics in the response between actors can increase vulnerability, as shown from a number of Ebola examples:
 - Hiring practices that required "pay to play" or a personal relationship with local leadership to get a job
 - Donor reluctance to fund MoH in favour of large INGOs
 - Banning traditional healing instead of incorporating them

Adaptability

- Social cohesiveness and networks can support resilience:
 - Communities "self-quarantine" to avoid transmission
 - Staff commitment and motivation in times of crisis despite salary/security issues
 - Staff networks and cohesiveness that supports mental health during extremely stressful events (e.g. burial teams)
- Positive adaptations in health systems:
 - Placing facilities in zones with reduced hazards
 - Good stock management
 - HR policies adaptation for task shifting and shift change
 - Rapid logistical and staffing response to HMIS to surge

Transformability

- Transformation of the health system following a shock may or may not happen
 - e.g. In Europe after the financial crisis some countries there was a move towards a more universalitist model but not for all (i.e. Ireland)
- Transformation of the medical humanitarian response architecture in positive ways post-Ebola:
 - WHO restructure a focus on more rapid response
 - National-level establishment of rapid medical response teams
 - A focus on accountability both up (donors) and down (beneficiaries)
- Unclear transformations:
 - International securitization of health
 - Raised expectations of populations for free health care (Ebola)
 - Unsustainable MoH payroll systems following the Ebola response.
 - Special treatment for Survivors (health care, research, social care)



Insights from the literature - 1

New governance configurations are critical:

- Trust building through participation in decision making;
- Polycentric and multi-layered institutions allow for better adaptability;
- Accountable and empowered authorities enhance adaptive capacity esp. of vulnerable groups.
- Motivation and Commitment by involved actors with a common goal
- Community/social resilience is critical to health systems resilience

Insights from the literature - 2

Research Gaps:

- More evidence on roes and impacts of actors, power and relationships within processes of adaptation and transformation
- How social cohesiveness/networks and individual resilience supports systems resilience
- How village- and district-level integration with national response mechanisms can be achieved to bolster sustainable systems resilience to shocks.